Dynamic Reprogramming of the Kinome in Response to Targeted MEK Inhibition in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer
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SUMMARY

Kinase inhibitors have limited success in cancer treatment because tumors circumvent their action. Using a quantitative proteomics approach, we assessed kinome activity in response to MEK inhibition in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells and genetically engineered mice (GEMMs). MEK inhibition caused acute ERK activity loss, resulting in rapid c-Myc degradation that induced expression and activation of several receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs). RNAi knockdown of ERK or c-Myc mimicked RTK induction by MEK inhibitors, and prevention of proteasomal c-Myc degradation blocked kinome reprogramming. MEK inhibitor-induced RTK stimulation overcame MEK2 inhibition, but not MEK1 inhibition, reactivating ERK and producing drug resistance. The C3Tag GEMM for TNBC similarly induced RTKs in response to MEK inhibition. The inhibitor-induced RTK profile suggested a kinase inhibitor combination therapy that produced GEMM tumor apoptosis and regression where single agents were ineffective. This approach defines mechanisms of drug resistance, allowing rational design of combination therapies for cancer.

INTRODUCTION

Kinase-targeted cancer therapies can fail when tumor cells circumvent the action of a single agent, facilitating therapeutic resistance. Acquired or selected mutations can decrease affinity for kinase inhibitors, but resistance also develops through alternate routes of kinase pathway activation. For example, RTK upregulation has been observed following targeted inhibition of selective kinases (Chandarlapaty et al., 2011; Johannessen et al., 2010; Nazarian et al., 2010; Villanueva et al., 2010); this kinome reprogramming circumvents inhibition of proto-oncogenic kinases. Alternatively, genomic loss of PTPN12 phosphatase expression similarly causes activation of multiple tyrosine kinases (Sun et al., 2011). Thus, dynamic and system-wide changes in multiple kinases can occur in tumor cells following pharmacological or progressive genetic perturbations. An understanding of these kinome responses and the mechanisms by which they occur will be key in determining how to abrogate therapeutic resistance. With more than 130 kinase-specific inhibitors currently in phase 1–3 clinical trials, developing combination therapies that are relevant for molecularly defined cancer subtypes is a highly tractable goal. However, rational design of kinase inhibitor combinations requires an overall knowledge of kinome activity and response, not just a simple measure of an inhibitor’s effect on one or two kinase pathway components. Currently, there is no optimal discovery mechanism to define the entire kinome and its dynamic activity. Such a technique could globally assess tumor kinome response to small molecule inhibitors and suggest more effective combination therapies.

To meet this challenge, we developed a chemical proteomics approach using multiplexed kinase inhibitor beads and mass spectrometry (MIB/MS) to define and quantitate the activity and drug responsiveness of a significant percentage (50%–60%) of the expressed kinome. We applied this technique to triple-negative breast cancer cell lines, preclinical tumor models, and human tumors. Analysis of patient TNBC showed activated
Kinome Profiling of TNBC

TNBC clinical trials of single kinase inhibitors have largely failed, consistent with drug-induced activation of alternative survival signaling pathways. Figure 1A outlines our strategy to interrogate kinome dynamics with the goal of defining endpoints leading to rational design of combination therapies. RNA-seq defined the transcript-level expressed kinome, and affinity capture of endogenous kinases followed by quantitative mass spectrometry measured kinome activity profiles in tumors and cells. The proteomic assessment was used to define the kinome response to targeted inhibition of kinases. RNAi tested growth and survival functions of the kinases activated in response to inhibitors, and the cumulative results were used to rationally predict kinase inhibitor combinations to test in models of TNBC.

RNA-seq defined the kinome transcript expression profile of a patient’s claudin-low breast tumor and two claudin-low TNBC lines, SUM159 and MDA-MB-231. More than 400 of the 518 human protein kinases were expressed in the claudin-low human TNBC tumor and cell lines (Figure 1B). Approximately 10% of the kinases expressed in the claudin-low patient tumor were unique compared to the claudin-low cell lines, undoubtedly due to the tumor’s complex cellular composition (Table S1 available online). The majority of expressed kinases are common between tumor and claudin-low cell lines, suggesting that interrogating the cellular kinome response to inhibitors will be relevant to patient tumors.

Profiling kinase activity in tumors and cell lines was carried out using multiplexed inhibitor beads (MIBs), which consist of mixtures of Sepharose beads with covalently immobilized, linker-adapted kinase inhibitors of moderate selectivity for different kinases (Bisindoylmalemide-X, SB203580, Dasatinib, and Laptatinib) and relatively broad pan-kinase inhibitors (V16832, Purvalanol B, and PP5) (Figure S1A) (Daub et al., 2008). Kinase capture is reproducible and is a function of kinase expression, the affinity of kinases for the immobilized inhibitors, and the activation state of the kinase (Bantscheff et al., 2007). Acute changes in activation-dependent binding were demonstrated by the increased binding of MAPK pathway kinases in EGF-stimulated cells and by the increased retention of Tyr kinases from cells treated with the Tyr phosphatase inhibitor pervanadate (Figures S1B and S1C). Our data showed that MIBs capture the majority of the expressed kinome estimated by RNA-seq and detect altered kinome activity profiles in response to stimulus or clinical kinase inhibitors.

Using MIBs and mass spectrometry (MIB/MS), we have cumulatively sequenced identified more than 320 kinases from cell lines and tumors (Table S2). MIB/MS profiling of an invasive ductal carcinoma breast tumor and two claudin-low cell lines identified ~50%-60% of the expressed kinome (Figures 1C-1E and Tables S1 and S3). Kinases from all major kinome subfamilies were captured, with a large percentage representing the untranslated kinome (Fedorov et al., 2010). ITRAQ labeling of digested MIB elutions allowed quantitative profiling of kinases in patient invasive ductal carcinoma compared to adjacent uninvolved mammary tissue (Figure 1F). Of the kinases detected, there was a general increase in MIB binding of tumor kinases, suggesting escalated kinome activity in the tumor compared to uninvolved mammary tissue. For example, the RAF-MEK-ERK pathway is increased in MIB binding in the tumor relative to control tissue, consistent with ERK activity being a driver for TNBC proliferation. Immunoblots confirmed the activation of RAF-MEK-ERK signaling in the patient invasive ductal carcinoma (Figure 1G). RTK arrays further revealed Tyr phosphorylated RTKs in two human tumors, which showed phosphorylation of EGFR, HER2, PDGFRβ, CSF1R, RON, and EPHB2 (Figure 1H). Although our data pointed to the potential importance of Tyr-phosphorylated EGFR and PDGFRβ in patient TNBC, clinical trials targeting these RTKs have largely failed (Bianchi et al., 2009; Finn et al., 2009). The failure of single-agent RTK inhibitors in TNBC is consistent with drug-induced activation of multiple kinases or compensatory tumor kinome responses. Because many expressed RTKs drive ERK activation, we profiled claudin-low breast cancer cells after MEK inhibition (e.g., AZD6244 currently in clinical trials) to determine whether dynamic kinome reprogramming occurs. Our goal was to define kinome alterations that would suggest a more effective, rationally designed combination therapy.

Reprogramming the Kinome in Response to MEK Inhibition

MEK inhibitors AZD6244 or U0126 inhibited growth of SUM159 (Figure 2A) and MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure S2A). ERK remained inhibited after 4 hr of MEK inhibitor treatment, whereas MEK phosphorylation was enhanced (Figure 2B). Inhibitor treatment for 24 hr resulted in reactivation of ERK, demonstrating that both lines overcame the initial MEK inhibition (Figures 2B and S2B). Phosphoproteomic analysis revealed loss of ERK-mediated feedback regulation of both BRAF and MEK1 (Figure 2C). Reduced phosphorylation of negative feedback sites on BRAF and MEK1 indicate escape from the suppressive feedback regulation on the ERK pathway (Ritt et al., 2010). Analysis of MIB-isolated protein kinases identified 52 peptides with decreased and 59 peptides with increased phosphorylation, whereas the phosphorylation status of 365 phosphopeptides was unchanged after MEK inhibition (Figure S2C and Table S3). The majority of these phosphorylation sites were Ser, Thr-, and...
Figure 1. Kinome Profiling of TNBC Reveals Elevated ERK Signaling
(A) Experimental strategy for the rational design of kinase inhibitor combination therapies. To define kinase inhibitor response signatures, expression profiling is integrated with kinase affinity capture and MS quantitative assessment of the activation state of the kinome. RNAi is used to analyze kinase function in survival response to inhibitors.

(B) Venn diagram shows number of expressed kinases defined by RNA-seq across patient TNBC and MDA-MB-231 and SUM159 cell lines. See Table S1 for normalized read count transcript expression profiles.

(C) Venn diagram shows number of kinases captured and identified by MIB-based proteomics across patient-sample TNBC and MDA-MB-231 and SUM159 cell lines. MIB/MS captures 50%–60% of the expressed kinome as defined by RNA-seq. See Table S2 for MS identifications of protein kinases.

(D) Distribution and (E) overlap of expressed and MIB-bound kinases across patient-sample TNBC and MDA-MB-231 and SUM159 cell lines.

(F) RAF-MEK-ERK pathway activated in patient TNBC tumors. Quantitative comparison of patient TNBC to matched uninvolved mammary tissue using MIB/MS. The line graphs show ITRAQ-determined quantitative changes in MIB binding as a ratio of tumor/uninvolved. Ratio < 1 denotes decreased MIB binding, and > 1 denotes increased MIB binding of kinase in tumor versus control tissue.

(G) Immunoblotting confirms an activated RAF-MEK-ERK pathway in TNBC cell lines and TNBC patient samples.

(H) RTK array analysis of patient TNBC tumors reveals multiple Tyr- phosphorylated RTKs, including EGFR and PDGFRβ.

See also Figure S1 and Tables S1 and S2.
**Figure 2. Reprogramming of the Kinome in Response to MEK Inhibition**

(A) Growth inhibition of SUM159 cells in response to AZD6244 or U0126. Triplicate experiments ± SD.

(B) Reactivation of MEK and ERK in the continued presence of 5 μM AZD6244 shown by western blot.

(C) Table of Kinase Inhibitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinase</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>AZD / DMSO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>S159</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>S265</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>T291</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>S446</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>S496</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>T595</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK1</td>
<td>T292</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK1</td>
<td>S398</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERK1</td>
<td>S539</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERK2</td>
<td>T204</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERK2</td>
<td>T220</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAK</td>
<td>S126</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSK1</td>
<td>S380</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSK1</td>
<td>T383</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSK2</td>
<td>S237</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSK2</td>
<td>T215</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(D) Schematic representation of the Kinome, showing the reprogramming of the kinome in response to MEK inhibition.

(E) Western blot analysis showing the reactivation of MEK and ERK in the continued presence of 5 μM AZD6244.

(F) Growth inhibition assay showing the effect of sRNA and sRNA + U0126 on cell growth.

(G) Graph showing the inhibitory effect of AZD6244 and U0126 on cell growth.

(H) Schematic representation of the reprogramming of the kinase network in response to MEK inhibition.

(I) Western blot analysis showing the reactivation of MEK and ERK in the continued presence of 5 μM AZD6244.

(J) Western blot analysis showing the effect of AZD6244 on the phosphorylation of various kinases.

Pro-directed Ser/Thr sites, but pTyr changes were also included, suggesting a broad change in kinome activity in response to AZD6244.

We next used MIB/MS to profile the SUM159 kinome response after exposure to AZD6244 (Figure 2D). MEK inhibition resulted in time-dependent MIB binding changes for more than 140 kinases, including cell-cycle regulatory kinases, MAPK pathway kinases, RTKs, cytosolic Tyr kinases, and other Ser/Thr kinases. Figure 2E highlights the MIB binding dynamics for MAPK component kinases during the time course of MEK inhibitor response in SUM159 cells. At 4 hr of AZD6244 treatment, both MEK1 and MEK2 are inhibited, as measured by loss of MIB binding. However, whereas MEK1 binding remains largely inhibited, MEK2 binding to MIBs increases at 12 hr of treatment and by 24 hr was similar to control cells, indicating a return of MEK2 activity. In parallel to restored MEK2 binding to MIBs, RAF1 and ERK1 binding to MIBs increases over the time course of AZD6244 treatment, correlating with activation of these kinases. We used RNAi for each kinase in the MAPK pathway to determine whether knockdown had a differential growth effect in response to MEK inhibition (Figure 2F). RNAi knockdown shows that loss of MEK2 and ERK1 inhibited SUM159 cell growth in the presence of MEK inhibitor, whereas MEK1 knockdown did not enhance growth inhibition. Taken together, these data indicate that MEK2 and ERK1 can escape from inhibition by AZD6244, suggesting a critical role for MEK2/ERK1 in SUM159 growth and survival during AZD6244 treatment.

Figures 2G and 2H show a 21 kinase signature defining a reprogrammed kinome in response to MEK inhibitors. This signature shows a loss of cyclin-dependent kinases, consistent with growth inhibition, and increased ERK binding to MIBs, indicating escape from MEK inhibition. RTKs, including AXL, DDR1 and PDGFRβ; cytosolic Tyr kinases FAK2 and JAK1; and the Ser kinase ACVR1, all showed increased MIB binding. Whereas MDA-MB-231 cells have a somewhat less robust kinome response to AZD6244, they displayed a significant kinome reprogramming that included a strong increase in PDGFRβ binding to MIBs (Figure S2D).

RTK arrays confirm the increased Tyr phosphorylation of multiple RTKs, including PDGFRβ and AXL in response to MEK inhibition (Figures 2I and S2E). In SUM159 cells, AZD6244 also significantly increased Tyr phosphorylation of VEGFR2 and RET. The AZD6244 response of SUM159 cells is dose-dependent (Figure 2J), as PDGFRβ and VEGFR2 show increased RTK phosphorylation and expression with increasing AZD6244. These results demonstrate that a significant number of kinases were induced in response to MEK inhibition. Relevant to the changes in the kinome to MEK inhibition, Figure S2F lists the 40 highest expressed kinase transcripts of a patient claudin-low tumor. Of these 40 kinases, 14 (24%) were dynamically regulated in SUM159 and/or MDA-MB-231 cells in response to AZD6244, suggesting that patient tumors could have a similar kinome reprogramming in response to targeted kinase inhibition.

**MEK Inhibition Deregulates Transcription, Expression, and Activation of RTKs**

Figures 3A and S3A define the time course of kinome reprogramming to AZD6244 in SUM159 and MDA-MB-231 cells. MEK and ERK were rapidly inhibited, allowing accumulation of MKP3, the MAPK phosphatase that inactivates ERK (Marchetti et al., 2005). Increased MKP3 expression combined with AZD6244 to strongly suppress ERK activity, but MKP3 protein was lost as MAPK pathway activity returned. Over time, VEGFR2, PDGFRβ, and DDR1 expression was increased with AZD6244 treatment, as was the phosphorylation of HER3 and AXL. qRT-PCR analysis of SUM159 (Figure 3B) and MDA-MB-231 (Figure S3B) cells treated with AZD6244 demonstrated elevated RNA levels for several of these RTKs, including DDR1/2, PDGFRβ, VEGFR2 (SUM159 only), and HER2/3. Analysis of cytokine RNA expression showed EGF, Gas6, PDGFβ, and PDGFδ induction, indicating the establishment of autocrine/paracrine loops for RTK activation (Figures 3C and S3C). RTK arrays further showed a time-dependent increase in Tyr phosphorylation of PDGFRβ, VEGFR2, and HER2/3 (DDR1/2 are not on the array) (Figure 3D).

PDGFRβ, whose RNA and protein expression was induced in response to AZD6244, was phosphorylated at Tyr 751, 857, and 1009—sites that are required for PDGFRβ activation and recruitment of PI3K and PLCγ (Figure 3E).

After 30 days of continuous exposure to AZD6244, SUM159 cells have become significantly resistant to MEK inhibitor-induced growth arrest (Figure 3F). Expression of cyclins A2 and B1 have recovered, consistent with increased proliferation (Figure S3D). The AZD6244-resistant cells (SUM159-R, grown continuously in 5 μM AZD6244) continue to have a reprogrammed kinome in which PDGFRβ and VEGFR2 exhibited both increased expression and Tyr phosphorylation, and AXL showed
Figure 3. AZD6244-Induced Kinome Reprogramming Is Target Specific and Involves Rapid, Stable Transcriptional Upregulation of RTKs and Cytokines

(A) AZD6244 treatment initially causes ERK inhibition and accumulation of MKP3. With prolonged AZD6244 treatment, increased RTK expression and downstream survival signaling coincides with the reactivation of RAF-MEK-ERK signaling.

(B and C) Time-dependent increase in (B) RTK and (C) cytokine gene expression in SUM159 cells after AZD6244 treatment, determined by qRT-PCR.

(D) Prolonged treatment of SUM159 cells with AZD6244 leads to stable upregulation of RTKs. SUM159 cells were treated with DMSO or AZD6244 for 4–72 hr or 30 days, and RTK tyrosine phosphorylation was determined by RTK antibody arrays.

(E) Treatment with AZD6244 enhances phosphorylation of PDGFRβ at multiple sites, including the activation loop.

(F) Generation of AZD6244-resistant SUM159 cells following stable treatment with AZD6244. Increased cell growth of SUM159-R cells compared to SUM159 cells treated with AZD6244 for 72 hr determined by cell counts (*p < 0.001).
increased Tyr phosphorylation (Figures 3D and 3G). Activation of these RTKs is accompanied by increases in phosphorylated AKT, RAF, p70 S6 kinase, MEK, ERK, and RSK1, showing that the cells overcame MEK inhibition by RTK activation of the ERK, AKT, and mTOR pathways (Figure 3G).

These findings indicate that targeted MEK inhibition significantly alters the activity of multiple kinases. It was therefore important to determine whether the changes in kinase activity were specific for MEK inhibition or a function of growth arrest. BEZ235 is a dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor that strongly inhibits SUM159 cell growth (Figure S3E). BEZ235 inhibited p70 S6 kinase activity, consistent with mTOR inhibition, but had no effect on the ERK pathway (Figure S3F). We compared the SUM159 kinome responses to BEZ235 and AZD6244 to determine whether kinome reprogramming was target specific or a function of growth arrest. Whereas AZD6244 induced PDGFRβ, VEGFR2, and AXL phosphorylation, BEZ235 treatment did not change the RTK phosphorylation profile except for increased phosphorylation of INSR, IGF1R, and AXL (Figure 3H). MIB/MS confirmed that AZD6244 altered the kinome differently from BEZ235, indicating that drug-induced kinome reprogramming is target specific (Figure S3G).

**MEK-ERK Inhibition Induces c-Myc Degradation, Leading to RTK Reprogramming**

ERK phosphorylates the transcription factor c-Myc at Ser62 and stabilizes c-Myc protein by preventing its proteasomal degradation (Sears et al., 2000). Treatment of both SUM159 and MDA-MB-231 cells with AZD6244 caused rapid loss of c-Myc protein and mRNA (Figures 4A, 4B, and S4A). This AZD6244-mediated repression of c-Myc protein and transcript, along with reduced phosphorylation of c-Myc at Ser62 (Figures 4B and S4A–S4C), resulted in decreased Myc-Max heterodimerization that is required for Myc transcriptional regulation (Figure 4C) (Marampon et al., 2006; Wierstra and Alves, 2008). Despite partial recovery of MEK-ERK activation after 24–72 hr, total c-Myc expression remained repressed in the continued presence of AZD6244 (Figures 4A–4C and S4A–S4C).

c-Myc binds the promoter of human pdgfrβ (Figure S4D) to repress PDGFRβ expression (Oster et al., 2000). To define the role of c-Myc loss in the AZD6244 reprogramming response, we applied RNAi to knockdown expression of c-Myc; the effect was analogous to the reprogrammed RTK and cytokine response seen with AZD6244 treatment (Figures 4D, 4E, and S4E). Similar to the AZD6244 response, knockdown of c-Myc induced expression of PDGFRβ, VEGFR2, and PDGFB and increased Tyr phosphorylation of PDGFRβ, VEGFR2, HER3, and AXL. RNAi knockdown of ERK1/2 confirmed that ERK inhibition was the primary signal inducing loss of c-Myc mRNA expression in the AZD6244 reprogramming of the kinome. Dual ERK1/2 knockdown resulted in reduced c-Myc and increased PDGFRβ expression (Figure S4F). Thus, reprogramming of RTKs in response to AZD6244 occurs by loss of ERK-mediated stabilization of c-Myc and the subsequent transcriptional derepression of RTKs and cytokines that are negatively regulated by c-Myc. BEZ235 inhibition of mTOR and PI3K inhibited cell growth but did not change ERK activity, c-Myc expression (Figure S4G), or RTK reprogramming (Figure S3G), confirming the specificity of MEK-ERK in controlling c-Myc levels.

Proteasomal degradation of c-Myc lacking Ser62 phosphorylation triggers AZD6244-induced kinome reprogramming. Expression of a nondegradable c-Myc(T58A) mutant in SUM159 cells significantly blocked AZD6244-mediated induction of PDGFRβ, DDR1, and VEGFR2 (Figures 4F and 4G). GSK3β promotes c-Myc degradation, and inhibition of GSK3β stabilized c-Myc protein to repress the induction of PDGFRβ (Figure S4H). Similarly, treatment of SUM159 or SUM159-R cells with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib prevented AZD6244-mediated c-Myc degradation, blocked c-Myc mRNA repression, and inhibited the induction of PDGFRβ, DDR1, and VEGFR2 (Figures 4H and S4I–S4M). Washout of AZD6244 from SUM159 or SUM159-R cells led to increased ERK activity, stabilization of c-Myc expression, and subsequent loss of RTK reprogramming (Figures 4I and S4N–S4P). Thus, stabilizing c-Myc protein levels prevented the onset of RTK reprogramming to AZD6244 and reversed the reprogramming in SUM159-R cells. Taken together, these findings show that AZD6244-induced c-Myc proteasomal degradation is responsible for kinome reprogramming and RTK upregulation.

In SUM159-R cells, c-Myc protein, mRNA levels, and Myc-Max heterodimers have partially returned due to reactivated ERK stabilizing c-Myc (Figures 4J, 4K, and S4Q). This correlates with SUM159-R cells having an increased growth rate compared to cells acutely treated with MEK inhibitor (Figure 3F). The level of c-Myc protein, however, is insufficient to completely repress RTK expression, which remains elevated compared to control cells but at lower levels than cells treated with AZD6244 for 4–72 hr (Figures 4K and L). A 5-fold increase in AZD6244 concentration inhibited ERK activation in SUM159-R cells (Figure 4M) because the higher dose of MEK inhibitor more effectively prevented RTK-stimulated reactivation of MEK-ERK signaling. As expected, the resulting loss of phospho-c-Myc S62 and total c-Myc protein led to a corresponding increase in RTK expression in SUM159-R cells. Of note, the return of phospho-ERK in the continued presence of AZD6244 was insufficient to completely reverse RTK reprogramming, suggesting that ERK may not be fully reactivated. This was shown by measuring the phosphorylation of two ERK substrates, RSK1 and c-Myc, after only 1 hr of AZD6244 washout from SUM159-R cells (Figure 4N). Phosphorylation of both substrates and phospho-ERK was increased, demonstrating further activation of ERK shortly after the removal of MEK inhibitor. Thus, the combination of persistent c-Myc transcriptional repression and partial MEK-ERK

(G) Maintenance of RTK reprogramming in SUM159-R cells accompanied by increased survival signaling. Kinome reprogramming in SUM159 cells treated with DMSO or AZD6244 for 4 hr was compared to SUM159-R cells by western blot. (H) AZD6244 and BEZ235 are target specific in their reprogramming of kinome response. BEZ235 induces a kinase response different than AZD6244 in SUM159 cells despite similar growth inhibition. Error bars represent triplicate experiments ± SD. See also Figure S3.
Figure 4. AZD6244-Mediated Loss of ERK1/2 Causes Rapid Degradation of c-Myc, Destabilization of Myc-Max Complexes, and Promotion of RTK Expression

(A) Loss of ERK1/2 activity following AZD6244 treatment promotes c-Myc degradation. SUM159 cells were treated with AZD6244 and monitored by western blot.

(B) Stable suppression of c-Myc RNA levels following AZD6244 treatment. MDA-MB-231 and SUM159 cells were treated with AZD6244 and c-Myc gene expression determined by qRT-PCR.

(C) Disruption of Myc-Max complexes following AZD6244 treatment. SUM159 cells were treated with AZD6244 for 0, 4, and 72 hr. Nuclear extracts were immunoprecipitated with anti-Max antibodies and immunoblotted for Myc and Max.

(D) RNAi knockdown of c-Myc using pooled siRNA for 72 hr in SUM159 cells upregulates gene expression of PDGFRβ, VEGFR2, and PDGFB, as determined by qRT-PCR.
RTK Reprogramming Rescues Cells from AZD6244-Induced Growth Arrest

RNAi knockdown of PDGFRβ in SUM159 cells enhanced growth inhibition by AZD6244 (Figure 5A), indicating that the induction of RTK signaling was critical for growth and survival of cells inhibited by AZD6244. To test the role of additional RTKs in the rescue response of cells to MEK inhibition, we performed siRNA knockdown of RTKs found to be transcriptionally induced and/or Tyr phosphorylated in response to U0126 in SUM159 (Figure 5B) and MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure S5A). As controls, we used siRNA to knockdown BRAF, RAF1, and ERK1/2; knockdown of each pathway member enhanced growth arrest observed with MEK inhibition (Figures S5A and SSB). Knockdown of PI3K and AKT produced a greater growth arrest response in SUM159 than MDA-MB-231 cells, consistent with mutant PI3K being a driver in SUM159 cells. siRNA knockdown of LYN and EPHA2 had no effect on the growth of either cell type in the presence or absence of MEK inhibitor (LYN and EPHA2 show no change or loss of MIK binding in response to MEK inhibitor). Though knockdown of HER2 or HER3 had little effect in SUM159 and MDA-MB-231 cells, knockdown of AXL, DDR1, DDR2, PDGFRβ, and VEGFR2 each resulted in a strong synthetic lethal-like effect in the presence of U0126 (Figures 5B, S5A, S5C, and SSD). Thus, loss of MEK-ERK signaling causes induction of multiple RTKs, each contributing to the subversion of MEK inhibition.

AZD6244 in Combination with RTK Inhibitors

Our results suggested RTK inhibitors in combination with AZD6244 could block the growth-promoting activity of the reprogrammed kinome. Given the repertoire of AZD6244-activated RTKs, we tested sorafenib and foretinib alone or in combination with AZD6244 for their ability to inhibit cell growth (Figures 5C, 5D, and S5E). AZD6244-induced activation of upstream RTKs drives ERK reactivation and cell growth in combination with AZD6244, with sorafenib being the most effective. Cell counting assays reinforced the strong synergistic growth arrest of SUM159 cells with the AZD6244/sorafenib combination (Figure 5E); RTK arrays validated that sorafenib inhibited Tyr phosphorylation of multiple RTKs induced by AZD6244 (Figures 5F and S5G). The combination of AZD6244/sorafenib enhanced the inhibition of ERK1/2, decreased cyclin D1 levels, and increased expression of the proapoptotic Bim protein compared to AZD6244 alone, indicating that the cells were primed for apoptosis (Figures 5G and SSH).

Sorafenib inhibits PDGFRα and β, VEGFR2, and DDR1/2 but is also an inhibitor of BRAF and RAF. Therefore, we assayed the action of different RAF inhibitors in combination with AZD6244 to determine whether the effect of sorafenib could be mimicked by other BRAF/RAF inhibitors (Figures S5I and S5J). The RAF inhibitor SB590885 inhibited MDA-MB-231 proliferation in combination with AZD6244 to a similar extent as sorafenib, consistent with the role of BRAF activation downstream of the observed RTK reprogramming as a driver of the proliferation. RAF inhibitors, but not sorafenib, in combination with AZD6244 actually stimulated the growth of SUM159 cells (Figure S5I), consistent with the known activation of wild-type RAF signaling by both PLX4720 and SB590885. At 250–500 nM, only sorafenib synergistically inhibited growth of SUM159 cells in combination with AZD6244. Thus, sorafenib in combination with AZD6244 inhibits growth of SUM159 cells more effectively than BRAF inhibitors by cotargeting induced RTKs.

SUM159-R cells that have become resistant to AZD6244 rely on RTK-driven reactivation of ERK for drug resistance. If a 10-fold higher dose of AZD6244 is used, ERK activity can be inhibited (Figure 5H). At the 5 μM dose of AZD6244 that was used to develop SUM159-R cells, the addition of sorafenib inhibited ERK activity and cell growth (Figure 5I), confirming that AZD6244-induced activation of upstream RTKs drives ERK reactivation. In SUM159-R cells, the combination of low doses of AZD6244 and sorafenib was similarly effective as high-dose AZD6244 at inhibiting ERK activation and cell growth (Figures 5H and 5I).

---
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**Kinome Reprogramming in the C3Tag TNBC GEMM**

The genetically engineered C3Tag mouse model has a gene expression signature similar to human TNBC. To define AZD6244-mediated kinome reprogramming in vivo, we harvested tumor tissue before or after oral delivery of AZD6244. Figures 6A and S6 show increased expression of PDGFRβ in response to AZD6244 in both the tumor cells and stroma of C3Tag tumors, demonstrating in vivo induction of PDGFRβ. Rapid degradation of c-Myc protein and induction of PDGFRβ was observed in 2 days and 7 days AZD6244-treated tumors, consistent with loss of c-Myc repression of RTK expression (Figure 6B). A C3Tag-derived breast cancer cell line (T2-C3Tag) isolated from the GEMM tumor responded to AZD6244 with upregulation of PDGFRβ and DDR1, confirming the tumor cell response to MEK inhibitor (Figure 6C). Expression of nondegradable c-Myc(T58A) in T2-C3Tag cells prevented the induction of PDGFRβ and DDR1, further indicating that proteasomal degradation of c-Myc is responsible for RTK reprogramming in C3Tag tumor cells (Figure 6D).
Profiling Kinome Response to Targeted Combination Therapies in the C3Tag Mouse Model of TNBC

MIB/MS was then used to define the kinome response profile of C3Tag tumors from mice treated with AZD6244, sorafenib, or the combination of AZD6244 and sorafenib (Figure 6E). The MIB/MS signatures of tumors continuously treated with AZD6244 or sorafenib share some overlap but exhibit significant differences, demonstrating drug-selective reprogramming of the kinome. AZD6244-treated tumors have upregulation of RTKs PDGFRβ, DDR2, and CSF1R, as well as a number of tyrosine kinases similar to the AZD6244 response in human TNBC cell lines. Importantly, the escape of MEK2 and ERK1 from AZD6244 inhibition was recapitulated in MIB/MS profiles of AZD6244-treated C3Tag tumors. Sorafenib-treated tumors showed decreased MIB binding of the previously reported sorafenib targets: BRAF, PDGFRβ, CSF1R, DDR1, DDR2, KIT, MLTK, and FRK (Karaman et al., 2008). Both AZD6244- and sorafenib-treated tumors showed increased MIB binding of cyclin-dependent kinases, indicating that the tumors have circumvented the action of the single agents to reenter cell-cycle progression. MIB/MS profiling of tumors treated with the combination of AZD6244 and sorafenib showed reduced MIB binding of kinases activated by AZD6244 treatment (Figure 6F). Sorafenib inhibited AZD6244-mediated activation of RTKs PDGFRβ, DDR2, and CSF1R, as well as a number of intracellular Tyr kinases, including JAK1. RTK-driven activation of MEK2-ERK1 was inhibited by sorafenib in tumors, and loss of cyclin-dependent kinase binding to MIBs was also observed, consistent with the combination of AZD6244 and sorafenib arresting tumor growth (Figure 6G).

AZD6244 plus Sorafenib Causes Tumor Regression

After only 2 days of AZD6244 or sorafenib treatment, the expression of VEGFR2 and PDGFRβ was increased along with increased phosphorylation of RAF at Ser338, demonstrating RAF activation (Figure 7A). The combination of AZD6244 and sorafenib reduced VEGFR2 and PDGFRβ expression, suppressed RAF activation, and synergistically inhibited reactivated ERK. Figure 7B shows that the combination of AZD6244 and sorafenib blocked ERK activation and induction of PDGFRβ in the T2-C3Tag cell line. Cyclin B1 levels are also reduced by the combination therapy, and a strong growth arrest was observed in cells cotreated with AZD6244 and sorafenib, indicating that AZD6244 sensitizes cells to sorafenib treatment (Figure 7C).

Our findings showed that the combination of AZD6244 and sorafenib was significantly more effective in inhibiting ERK activation in 2 days treated C3Tag mice and the C3Tag tumor cell line. Therefore, C3Tag mice were allowed to develop tumors and were then treated for 21 days with AZD6244 and/or sorafenib (Figures 7D and S7A). Sorafenib treatment alone had no effect on tumor progression, whereas 30% of the AZD6244-treated mice showed some tumor regression. In contrast, 77% of mice treated with AZD6244 and sorafenib had tumor regression, demonstrating a significantly greater effect of the combination therapy versus AZD6244 alone. TUNEL assays of the tumors showed that the combination of AZD6244 and sorafenib induced a strong apoptotic response in only 2 days of treatment, in stark contrast with single drug treatment (Figures 7E and S7B).

DISCUSSION

We describe a novel approach to study the reprogramming of protein kinase networks “en masse.” Our methods allowed the isolation and analysis of protein kinases from cells and tumors with 50%–60% of the expressed kinome assayed in a single mass spectrometry run. Profiling MIB binding of kinases is a highly sensitive method to simultaneously monitor activation and inhibition of numerous kinases. This profiling technique allows interrogation of kinases known by sequence but that have been understudied due to lack of biologic or phenotypic knowledge or reagent availability. An example of the latter is the ability to distinguish changes in MEK1 and MEK2.

This technique identified a kinome response signature to the selective MEK1/2 kinase inhibitor AZD6244. The only defined substrates for MEK are ERK1 and 2, yet we observed changes in activity of kinases in every subfamily of the kinome in response to MEK inhibition. Kinome assessment showed a time-dependent reprogramming that involved an early loss of ERK feedback regulation of RAF and MEK, as well as increased MKP3 protein stability. The increased expression of MKP3 functions to enhance ERK inactivation. In contrast, the loss of RAF and MEK feedback inhibition would allow upstream activation of the pathway. The time-dependent change in MIB binding of specific RTKs such as PDGFRβ and DDR1 was readily detected and provided the critical experimental observation that MEK inhibition was driving the expression and activation of multiple RTKs, each of which are capable of stimulating the RAF-MEK-ERK pathway. Importantly, we identified c-Myc degradation as a key mechanism mediating kinome reprogramming; preventing proteasomal degradation of c-Myc inhibited the reprogramming response. RNAi knockdown of ERK or c-Myc recapitulated the MEK inhibitor-induced expression and Tyr phosphorylation of several RTKs, demonstrating that ERK regulation of c-Myc stability is critical in controlling the expression and activation of specific kinases. The fact that multiple RTKs are activated in response to MEK inhibition demonstrates the difficulty in using single kinase inhibitors to arrest tumor progression.

In addition to c-Myc, inhibition of AKT and mTOR also causes kinome reprogramming in different breast cancer subtypes (Chandarlapaty et al., 2011; Rodrik-Outmezguine et al., 2011). Whereas c-Myc functions as a repressor of PDGFRβ, DDR1/2, and VEGFR2 expression in claudin-low breast cancer, AKT has been shown to negatively regulate FOXO-dependent expression of HER3, IGF1R, and INSR in several breast cancer cell lines. Inhibition of mTOR kinase activity leads to AKT inhibition and subsequent RTK reprogramming (Rodrik-Outmezguine et al., 2011). Differential kinome reprogramming is seen not simply by targeting the MEK-ERK and AKT pathways but with tyrosine kinase inhibitors as well. HER3 upregulation was shown to play a major role in lapatinib resistance (Garrett et al., 2011), and in lung cancer, MET amplification leads to gefitinib resistance (Engelman et al., 2007).

Analysis of the ERK pathway in cells treated with AZD6244 showed a time-dependent rescue of BRAF/RAF, MEK2, ERK1, and RSK1 binding to MIBs. We demonstrated that MIB binding of these kinases is a function of their activation. The time course of recovery paralleled that of AZD6244-induced RTK expression.
Figure 6. AZD6244-Mediated Kinome Reprogramming in C3Tag Mouse Model of TNBC
(A) PDGFRβ is induced in C3Tag tumors after 2 days AZD6244 treatment, as shown by anti-PDGFRβ immunofluorescence.
(B) AZD6244 treatment of C3Tag mice for 2 and 7 days causes c-Myc degradation and induced PDGFRβ expression, as shown by western blot.
(C) Tumor-derived C3Tag cell line shows AZD6244-mediated c-Myc loss and induction of RTKs. T2-C3Tag cells were treated with AZD6244, and RTK reprogramming was determined by western blot.
(D) Expression of c-Myc(T58A) in T2-C3Tag cells suppresses AZD6244-mediated RTK reprogramming. T2-C3Tag cells stably expressing vector or human c-Myc(T58A) were treated with AZD6244 for 24 hr and were analyzed by western blot.
(E) MIB/MS profile of C3Tag tumors in response to AZD6244 for 28 days, sorafenib for 26 days, or combined AZD6244 and sorafenib for 26 days show distinct kinome responses. The line graphs show iTRAQ-determined quantitative changes in MIB binding as a ratio of inhibitor/untreated. Ratio < 1 denotes decreased MIB binding, and > 1 denotes increased MIB binding of kinases in treated versus control tumors.
The C3Tag tumor shows a comparable increase in MEK2 and ERK1 binding after AZD6244 treatment, mimicking the reprogramming response observed in SUM159 cells. Published work with a similar MEK inhibitor, GSK1120212, which binds to the MEK allosteric regulatory site (as does AZD6244) provides insight into how MEK2 escapes inhibition (Gilmartin et al., 2011). MEK phosphorylated at the activation loop serines has a 20-fold lower affinity for GSK1120212 than nonphosphorylated MEK, effectively alleviating allosteric site inhibition of MEK. Because ERK activity is increasing over time, MEK1 would be feedback phosphorylated at its negative regulatory site Thr292, preventing MEK1 reactivation even in the setting of RTK reprogramming; MEK2, however, lacks this regulatory site and selectively escapes inhibition. This suggests a unique paradigm of activation of an upstream signaling pathway increasing the IC50 of an inhibitor for a target kinase. 

In many tumor types Tyr kinases are molecular drivers of transformation and also play a major role in resistance to therapy. Claudin-low SUM159 cells and the C3Tag breast cancer GEMM were remarkably similar in response to AZD6244, with induction and activation of PDGFRβ, VEGFR2, CSFR1, DDR1/2, and AXL. The claudin-low MDA-MB-231 cell line was somewhat less responsive but still showed the induction of PDGFRβ, DDR1, and DDR2 and activation of AXL with AZD6244 treatment. RNAi

(F) AZD6244 plus sorafenib inhibits AZD6244-induced kinome response in C3Tag tumors, as identified by MIB/MS. Changes in MIB binding (>1.5 fold) of AZD6244-responsive kinases following cotreatment with sorafenib are shown in yellow.
(G) Cotreatment of C3Tag mice with AZD6244 and sorafenib prevents upregulation of kinases observed by AZD6244 treatment alone, including CDKs and PDGFRβ. These kinases were selected from (E) with a > 1.5-fold reduction in MIB binding between AZD6244 treatment alone and cotreatment with AZD6244 and sorafenib.

See also Figure S6.
knockdown of the different RTKs indicated that each kinase contributed to the survival response of SUM159 and MDA-MB-231 cells. Given the repertoire of RTKs whose expression and activity is induced with AZD6244 treatment, we predicted that the combination therapy of sorafenib and AZD6244 would “broaden” the kinase targeting sufficiently to produce significant therapeutic benefit. The combination therapy increased apoptosis and tumor regression significantly compared to either drug alone in the C3Tag TBCN GEMM.

We identified AZD6244-induced RTKs (and Ser/Thr kinases) using a combination of MIB/MS and immunoblotting of cell lines and C3Tag tumors. We created a signature of therapeutic resistance, allowing a rational prediction of combinatorial therapies. This approach can be extended to human tumors using so-called “window trials” in which a patient is treated with a targeted agent prior to surgery and their tumor analyzed at excision for kinase-resistance signatures. Importantly, we have shown that the kinase response is unique for inhibitors targeting different kinases, and the response of different tumor types to a common inhibitor may also vary. Thus, this systems kinase approach can be applied to help define patterns of resistance for a variety of drugs and biopsy-accessible tumor types.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture

MDA-MB-231 and T2-C3Tag cells were grown in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% FBS. SUM159 cells were grown in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 5% FBS, 1 μg/ml hydrocortisone, and 5 μg/ml insulin. SUM159-R cells were continually grown in the presence of 5 μM AZD6244. For SILAC labeling, cells were grown for five doublings in arginine- and lysine-depleted media (as above) supplemented with either unlabeled, L-arginine (42 mg/l) and L-lysine (71 mg/l) or equimolar amounts of [13C6]-lysine (Arg6) and [13C6]-lysine (Lys6) (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories). Proliferation was quantified by Cell-Titer Glo Luminescence Cell Viability Assay (Promega). Media containing kinase inhibitors was replaced daily.

MIB Chromatography

Cells and tumors were harvested as previously described (Oppermann et al., 2009). In brief, lysates were brought to 1 M NaCl and passed through columns of inhibitor-conjugated beads (Bisindoylmaleimide-X, SB203580, Lapatinib, Dasatinib, Purvalanol B, W16832, PP50) to isolate protein kinases from the lysates (see Extended Experimental Procedures for MIB preparation). Kinase-bound inhibitor beads were washed with high- and low-salt buffers and 0.1% SDS before elution in 0.5% SDS solution in high heat. Proteins were purified using chloroform/methanol extraction, resuspended in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH 8) or 50 mM HEPES (pH 8) for SILAC or iTRAQ, respectively. Samples were digested overnight at 37°C with sequencing grade modified trypsin (Promega). iTRAQ labeling of digested peptides was carried out using iTRAQ 4-plex reagent (AB SCIEX) for 2 hr at room temperature in the dark. Peptides were fractionated with Mini SCX Spin Columns and cleaned using PepClean C18 Spin Columns (Thermo Scientific) before separation by a Tempo LC MALDI Spotting System.

MS Analysis

MS and MS/MS data were acquired with a MALDI TOF/TOF 5800 (ABSCIEX) and analyzed by ProteinPilot Software Version 3.0 (ABSCIEX) with a UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database. Proteins were accepted when ≥ 1 unique peptide was identified at 99% confidence. Peptide quantitation by ProteinPilot was performed using the Pro Group Algorithm, and quant ratios are bias corrected. All MIB/MS kinase response profiles can be found in Table S5. MIB/MS analysis with cell lines was done in two to three independent experiments. A set of three independent experiments using SILAC labeled SUM159 cells treated with AZD6244 or DMSO was used to assess statistical significance and reproducibility of MIB/MS (Extended Experimental Procedures and Table S6).

Western Blotting and RTK Arrays

Western blotting and RTK array analyses were performed as previously described (Amin et al., 2010). Detailed methods and antibodies are provided in Extended Experimental Procedures.

In Vivo Tumorogenesis Experiments

Animal handling and procedures were approved by the UNC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and followed the NIH guidelines. Male C3Tag mice were bred with wild-type females to produce experimental offspring. Treatment began the same day that a palpable mass was found. Drugs were incorporated into chow, and food was provided ad libitum. Tumor size was assessed twice weekly by caliper measurements of tumor areas (width2 x length)/2 for 21 days. Percent change of tumor volume was calculated using (final volume – initial Volume)/initial volume and graphed using R (http://www.r-project.org/). Tumors at harvest were halved and either snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C or placed in neutral buffered 10% formalin solution.

Human Breast Tissue Procurement

All human breast tissue was obtained from the Tissue Procurement Facility in compliance with the laws and institutional guidelines as approved by the UNC IRB committee. Clinical specimens were phenotyped by gene expression analysis in the lab of CMP.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Extended Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and six tables and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.02.053.
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